

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A 1: .							
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT 703	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/i	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2003/008342	29 July 2003 (29.07	.2003)	08 November 2002 (08.11.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC D21G 3/00							
Applicant							
	STRAUCH, Helr	nut					
·							
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 sheets, including	ng this cover s	heet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report	I Basis of the report .						
II Priority	II Priority						
III Non-establishment o	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
IV Lack of unity of inve	[
V Reasoned statement citations and explana	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
	— — 						
VII Certain defects in the	VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
			j				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
07 June 2004 (07.06.2004)			July 2004 (30.07.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		zed officer					
Facsimile No.	Telepho	ne No					
E- pommer	Тетерно	uo 140.					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)



International application No.

PCT/EP2003/008342

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basi	is of the re	port					
1. Wit	h regard to	the elements of the international application:*					
		ernational application as originally filed		I			
	the desc	cription:		ļ			
	pages	•	-11	, as originally filed			
	pages			, filed with the demand			
	pages			,			
	the clair						
KA		ns:		:- <u></u>			
	pages -		as amended (together	, as originally filed			
	pages _		, as amended (rogenier	r with any statement under Article 19, filed with the demand			
	pages	1-15	filed with the letter of				
			, liled with the letter of	07 Julie 2007 (07.00.2007)			
	the draw						
	pages _		-2/2				
	pages			, filed with the demand			
l	pages _		, filed with the letter of _				
	the seque	ence listing part of the description:					
	pages			as originally filed			
	pages			, filed with the demand			
l	pages						
i uje i	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/						
or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
-	7	ned in the international application in written form					
-		ogether with the international application in comp					
-		ned subsequently to this Authority in written form					
		ned subsequently to this Authority in computer rea					
		tatement that the subsequently furnished writt ational application as filed has been furnished.					
	The sta	atement that the information recorded in computations are supported in computations.	uter readable form is identical	to the written sequence listing has			
4.	The arr	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description, pages					
		the claims, Nos		r			
•		the drawings, sheets/fig		•			
5.	This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the ame	endments had not been made, si	nce they have been considered to go			
	веуона і	the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Suppler	mental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
and	! 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to	o mis report since they ao no	or contain amendments (Rule 70.16			
Any	геріасете	ent sheet containing such amendments must be re	ferred to under item 1 and annex	xed to this report.			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 3: citations and explanations supportin	5(2) with regard to no	velty, inventive step or industrial applica	ability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims	•	NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims		NO NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - 1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: DE 31 36 085 A

2. None of the available prior art documents describes a scraper blade mounting device as per independent claim 1. Although the closest prior art document, D1, does show a scraper blade mounting device, the latter has none of the features of the characterising portion of claim 1.

The device as per claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3. The known prior art (whether D1, which is the closest prior art, or the remaining search report citations) does not in any way prompt a person skilled in the art to design the device known from D1 as per the characterising portion of the corresponding claims.

The problem of protecting a scraper blade mounting device from dirt is not discussed in D1.

The arrangement of all moveable components of the retaining device on one side, that is, the underside, of the covering plate prevents dirt from entering these components.

The aforementioned measure is therefore based on a consideration that is not a logical development of the prior art.

The device according to claim 1 should therefore meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) since it is functional and can be manufactured, and is therefore also industrially applicable (PCT Article 33(4)).

4. Dependent claims 2 to 15 relate to advantageous embodiments of the device according to claim 1 and therefore likewise meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2), (3) and (4).

Box VII

- Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite D1 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
- Claim 1 contains a typing error (cf. line 13 "Langrohr (5)" instead of "Lagerrohr (5)" [German text only]).